

"So you're <u>sure</u> this protein has a molecular weight of 150,000?" "According to our assumptions, that's correct."

That's the problem with relying on the assumptions of column calibration to characterize macromolecules. You don't really know if your standards and your samples are the same! Perhaps that's why every major pharmaceutical and biotechnology company, as well as most federal regulatory agencies are switching from relative methods to Wyatt Technology's absolute measurements. We'll show you how to end your dependence

on reference standards, in order to make you and your products a whole lot more convincing. See our webinar on Absolute Biophysical Characterization at wyatt.com/Absolute.







"So you're <u>sure</u> this protein has a molecular weight of 150,000?" "According to our assumptions, that's correct."

That's the problem with relying on the assumptions of column calibration to characterize macromolecules. You don't really know if your standards and your samples are the same! Perhaps that's why every major pharmaceutical and biotechnology company, as well as most federal regulatory agencies are switching from relative

methods to Wyatt Technology's absolute measurements. We'll show you how to end your dependence on reference standards, in order to make you and your products a whole lot more convincing.





"So you're <u>sure</u> this protein has a molecular weight of 150,000?" "According to our assumptions, that's correct."

That's the problem with relying on the assumptions of column calibration to characterize macromolecules. You don't really know if your standards and your samples are the same! Perhaps that's why every major pharmaceutical and biotechnology company, as well as most federal regulatory agencies are switching from

relative methods to Wyatt Technology's absolute measurements. We'll show you how to end your dependence on reference standards, in order to make you and your products a whole lot more convincing.



